You live in a crowded city with only one green space-the city park. The government recently proposes to build a housing complex on this only green space. Do you think this is a good idea?

Generally speaking, although it is vital to have enough <u>residental</u> areas for people to live in them, it is important to have enough parks for environmental benefits. It is obvious that the more we prepare <u>accommodation</u> in cities, the more people move there from rural areas and make them more crowded. That is why I disagree with government's decision for three reasons. First, it is not an environmentally friendly choice. Moreover, it causes more air pollution. Plus, it eliminates people's gathering place.

To begin with, the environmental issues are more critical in urban areas and many animal species and people's life depend on this environment. Thus, it is important to take care of the only green space in the town lest animals lost lose their only habitat. By destroying the only park of the city those animals should will either be wiped out or fleed to the city among people. Both points are daunting. Escaping of animals from the park which is destroyed to the city would have many negative impacts on people's life. They would be foraging for food among people's food waste and would carry deseases diseases and infections with them. For instance, most crowded cities are facing this problem. Cats and dogs who lost their green habitat have entered down town and have made created/caused many problems for city members.

Secondly, crowded cities are already struggling with traffic jams and air pollution. Tress and plants set off set-off co2 emissions by absorbing them, so we should not take their role in cleaning the air for granted while by destroying the only park of the city the government increases aggravates traffic james and air pollution. Air pollution itself is harmful for populace especially kids and elderly ones and causes heart deseases diseases and asmaasthma. My own experience of my home town is a compelling example of this idea. City of Tehran is packed with skyscrapers and cars and its lack of trees has made the air very polluted and every year many people lose their life due to it.

Last but not least, on the surface, it comes across as a beneficial choice, but devastating the only green area would be one of extremely harmful. A vast majority of people get together in that park where they interact or play group games and sports. By destroying the park we take a part of people's intertainments away from them. It not only does it play a key role on in their mental but physical health. Losing activity and hobbies can adversely affect your both body and mind. For example, parks are meant to bring greenness and joy to cities like our neighbourng park where which is a home to cats and a place for people social activities and doing sports.

To cut a long story short, the afforementioned points and examples have illustrated that I am not in favor of government's <u>decission</u> and in my opinion we are in charge of taking care of our community and we should not allow the government to make wrong <u>decissions</u> to achieve its own profits.